



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/846,995      | 05/01/2001  | Robert A. Wiedeman   | 900.0005USU         | 3914             |

7590 08/16/2006

KARAMBELAS & ASSOCIATES  
655 DEEP VALLEY DRIVE  
SUITE 303  
ROLLING HILLS ESTATES, CA 90274

EXAMINER

ORGAD, EDAN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2618

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/846,995 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>WIEDEMAN ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Edan Orgad        | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2618                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Edan Orgad. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Anthony Karambelas. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 August 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-31.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Informed applicant's representative of board of appeals decision, specifically, board of appeal sustained the examiner's rejections with respect to claims 1, 2, 9-11, 18-20, 27, 29, and 31, but have not sustained the rejections with respect to claims 3-6, 8, 12-15, 17, 21-24, 26, 28 and 30.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**EDAN ORGAD**  
**PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.**

*Edan Orgad* 8/8/06

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required